why in spite of what biology says there are considerations that would lead you to go other than in a purely biological direction. If you are a Catholic and a biologist and your church takes a position against abortion and artificial birth control, then you have to argue biologically to coincide with whatever your religion teaches you. If you are one of those Baptists, or whatever Senator Lewis said comes from the wilds of wherever he said they come from, you would have to teach against the notion of evolution. There are certain ideas which are not nourished in religious atmospheres. A public education is designed, whether it accomplishes that purpose or not, to explore all areas of knowledge, all fields of human endeavor, and in total disregard of whose feet or feelings are hurt or stepped upon by advocating or teaching certain ideas, you have to expose the student to these ideas without trying to compel them to reject or accept them because of some preconceived religious notion and all these sectarian schools have a philosophy that they are compelled because of what they are organized for that they have to push. So what Senator Lewis ought to do if he wants total honesty in this and it is not to support these sectarian schools, let him say, and I would still oppose it because I am against aid to private schools but the rest of you could more easily support him. Let him say provided that the student will receive these grants and loans only if he or she attends a nonsectarian post secondary school. Why won't he say that? Because he wants to support sectarian schools. Whenever you have a position that you can't deal with forthrightly, you make your opponents strong and you make your allies shakey because they sense these areas and some of them see them clearly where your weaknesses exist, and when you won't even acknowledge these things, that makes it seem like you have got something to hide, and on this issue, nothing should be hidden. I am for what Senator Mills is recommending. I am for scrapping the whole thing, and if it is an apple that must be consumed in two bites, this is the first one to take and I think it ought to be taken.

PRESIDENT: Senator Mahoney.

SENATOR MAHONEY: Mr. President, I am surprised that there were not more lights on and probably was tarrying my time away. I would very strongly speak against Senator Mills amendment. Senator Mills amendment, as I understand, is to, for the sake of the Senators in here who don't have all of the law degrees and it is necessary for that we would be able to tell you that it would take out definitely the part that applies to the colleges. I think that it is necessary, in just a few words here, to say that Senator Chambers has come back on again to support anything that will be self destruction to this whole bill and he uses me as an example because yesterday I was the one that stood on the floor and accepted the challenge from Senator Chambers and we got into a spirited debate and I didn't get my words twisted up on that this time so that I had my secretarian and my sectarian correct. I am not as able and capable as Senator Schmit when he says committee. I do not have the Harvard education but I am